## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

		_
DEBORAH LAUFER	Plaintiff,	AFFIRMATION OF JUSTIN R. MEYER, ESQ.
DOVE HESS HOLDING	S, LLC.	Civil Action No.: 5:20-cv-00379-BKS-MI
	Defendant	

Justin R. Meyer, Esq. affirms under penalty of perjury, the following:

- 1. I represent MJ Cayuga, LLC
- 2. My client is in receipt of a document entitled "Proposed Amended Complaint", (hereinafter referred to as the "Proposed Amended Complaint"). A copy of the Proposed Amended Complaint is attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit A.
- 3. The Proposed Amended Complaint bears the following caption:

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT Northern District Court of New York			
Deborah Laufer, Individually,			
Plaintiffs, v.	Case No. 5:20-cv-379 (BKS)(ML)		
MJ Caygua LLC,	40		
Defendants(s)			

- 4. The case number set forth on the Proposed Amended Complaint is "5:20-cv-379 (BKS)(ML)"
- 5. The aforesaid case number returns a single case wherein MJ Cayuga, LLC is not named as a Defendant, rather, "Dove-Hess Holdings, LLC" is the named Defendants.
- 6. In fact, a search of electronic filings with this Court returns results in which the Plaintiff in this action is the Plaintiff in a number of other actions with allegations similar to those included in the Proposed Amended Complaint, yet none of those actions name MJ Cayuga, LLC as a Defendant.

- 7. Given the great many actions brought by this Plaintiff, as well as the Courts indication in a recent Memorandum-Decision and Order dated April 1, 2021 that, "Plaintiff has filed against hundreds of Defendants not only in New York, but across the country...", my client and I were concerned that the case number set forth on the Proposed Amended Complaint was an error by Counsel for the Plaintiff and/or that the search of the Court's electronic filing system was somehow improper or incomplete.
- 8. Accordingly, I contacted Plaintiff's counsel first by telephone and subsequently by email to request an explanation for the inclusion of MJ Cayuga, LLC as a Defendant in the Proposed Amended Complaint.
- 9. The email exchange is attached hereto and made a part hereof as Exhibit B.
- 10. The said email exchange, in relevant part, includes a question from your Affirmant, "Do I understand correctly that there is not now an action pending against MJ Cayuga in which a Complaint has been served or an answer otherwise due?"
- 11. The said email exchange includes a response by Plaintiff's Counsel, "Your understanding is correct."
- 12. Accordingly, no answer or responsive pleading is submitted on behalf of MJ Cayuga with respect to the Proposed Amended Complaint.
- 13. With respect to a Complaint or other action by the Plaintiff or Plaintiff's counsel which names MJ Cayuga, LLC as a party, MJ Cayuga and your Affirmant are unaware of the same, and if such an action exists, it is the intention of MJ Cayuga, LLC to respond to allegations or otherwise appear in such action and assert any and all rights which it may have.

Dated: Plattsburgh, New York April 21, 2021

Stafford, Owens, Piller, Murnane, Kelleher & Trombley, PLLC

By: s/Justin R. Meyer
Justin R. Meyer, Esq.
Bar Roll No. 514351
Telephone: (518)561-4400

Email: jmeyer@staffordowens.com

To: Peter Sverd, Esq.
Law Offices of Peter Sverd, PLLC
225 Broadway, Suite 613
New York, New York 10007